to direct the early restoration of these temples through the Muzarayi Department.

Dewan's reply.—Ancient temples which are of special architectural value have been ordered to be taken care of and repaired and carefully maintained.

Subject No. 336.

Free grazing to be allowed in neighbouring village.

Summary of discussion.—Some villages have no Gomal lands. The neighbouring villagers demand Hulbanni to allow grazing within their limits and in their Gomal lands. It is requested that permission may be given for free pasturage in adjoining villages as was the practice previous to the Survey Settlement.

Dewan's reply.—The inhabitants of a village to whom (under the orders contained in Proceedings No. 2360-9—55, dated 16th July 1884) grazing rights in that village are conceded on the average of previous years' realizations are not free to sell their rights to neighbouring villagers. (See orders contained in Proceedings No. 6033-31—128, dated 29th January 1885). But where necessary, Deputy Commissioners are authorized to arrange the villages in convenient groups with a due regard to vested interests and to the wishes of the villagers concerned, and to dispose of the grazing of each group separately. If necessary again, the existing groups can be ordered to be revised where such revision is called for. But the grazing in one village cannot be given to the inhabitants of the neighbouring village as a matter of right.

Subject No. 337.

Increase of allotment for Gundlupet feeding Chattram.

Summary of discussion.—The necessity for an increase of allotment to the Gundlupet feeding chattram was brought to notice last year. (Subject No. 262 in the Proceedings). It is now again submitted and orders are solicited for an additional allotment of Rs. 100 per mensem.

Dewan's reply.—The Deputy Commissioner's report is awaited. But it seems impossible to increase the allotment by Rs. 100 per mensem, as no savings elsewhere will be available.

Subject No. 338.

List of date groves to be reserved, prepared by Inspector Chitambarayar is incorrect.

Summary of discussion.—The lists of lands to be reserved as containing date groves recently prepared by Inspector Chitambarayar are not correct and contain many errors. They should be revised, and such lands as have been unnecessarily reserved in consequence of their being entered in the incorrect lists should be given out for cultivation. For example, some occupied land has been erroneously included in the list. If unoccupied land be similarly entered, the loss to Government and disappointment to applicants for such land can be easily imagined.

Dewan's reply.—The attention of the Deputy Commissioner will be drawn to this.